



The LaBiche
ARCHITECTURAL GROUP INC

Dohn H. LaBiche, FAIA - Principal
Greg Wall, AIA - Principal

7999 Gladys Avenue, Suite 101
Beaumont, Texas 77706
(409) 860-0197 • Fax (409) 860-0198

March 1, 2019

ADDENDUM NO. 1

**Project # 18025 - HISTORIC REHABILITATION OF ORANGE COUNTY COURTHOUSE
ORANGE, TEXAS**

The following changes, corrections and additions or deletions to the Drawings and Specifications are hereby made part of the Contract Documents. Bidders shall acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in the Bid Form

SPECIFICATIONS

SECTION 000499 – CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

1. Delete this section in its entirety and replace with new SECTION 000499 – CONTRACTOR EVALUATION attached.

DRAWINGS

None



End of Addendum No. 1

03.01.19

HISTORIC REHABILITATION OF
ORANGE COUNTY COURTHOUSE
ORANGE, TEXAS

SECTION 000499 – CONTRACTOR EVALUATION

Contractor:

Date:

Conditions of Evaluation:

Based on the information provided by the Proposer in the Bid, the Contractor and Subcontractor Qualification Statements, and interviews with referenced clients and architects, the Owner shall use the following criteria to evaluate the proposals submitted. The proposal with the highest numerical score shall be selected. 100 Points is the maximum score.

- a. The amount of the Contract Sum.
**25 points for the low bid;
20 points for the second lowest bid;
15 points for the third lowest bid;
10 points for the fourth lowest bid.**
- b. The experience of the Proposer in providing general contracting services in similar historic projects.
10 points maximum, weighted.
- c. Experience in providing general contracting services for restoration, preservation, and adaptive use projects over the past ten (10) years
10 points maximum, weighted.
- d. The experience of the Proposer's project team on similar projects.
 1. Qualifications of the proposed project manager.
 2. Qualifications of the proposed project superintendent.**10 points maximum, weighted.**
- e. The experience and reputation of proposed prime subcontractors on similar projects.
 1. Prime subcontractors and suppliers for this project:
 - a. Masonry (brick and stone work)
 - b. Sealant Installation**10 points maximum, weighted.**
- f. The reputation of the Proposer and of the Proposer's services, based on interviews with past clients and architects.
 1. Is the Proposer considered a "team player" or was the relationship "adversarial?"
 2. Will past clients and architects work with Proposer again on future projects?
 3. Does Proposer maintain project schedule and complete the project on time?
 4. Does the Proposer pay subcontractors and suppliers on time?
 5. Is the Proposer fair to the client in pricing change proposals?
 6. Does the Proposer maintain good records during construction?**10 points maximum, weighted.**
- g. The Proposer's performance during the final completion and closeout phase of the work.
 1. Punch lists completed on schedule?
 2. Quality of punch list work equal to original work?
 3. Closeout documents provided as specified?

HISTORIC REHABILITATION OF
ORANGE COUNTY COURTHOUSE
ORANGE, TEXAS

4. Satisfactory cleaning, equipment startup, Owner personnel training?
5. Accurate record documents provided as specified and on time?

10 points maximum, weighted.

h. The Proposer's performance on warranty requests.

1. Responsiveness to warranty requests.
2. Quality of warranty work equal to original work; done by same subcontractors?
3. End-of-warranty inspections completed and done in a satisfactory manner?

5 points maximum, weighted.

i. Attendance to the Pre-Bid Conference

5 points

j. The Proposer's time for completion of the project.

5 points for the least amount of days.
4 points for the second least amount of days.
3 points for the third least amount of days.
2 points for the fourth least amount of days.
1 point for the fifth least amount of days.

Total available points = 100

END OF SECTION 000499